



# Animal Detection Using Template Matching Algorithm

MANSI PARIKH

B. Tech Graduation Student, CE Department  
MBICT, Gujarat Technological University  
New V. V. Nagar,  
Gujarat (India)

MIRAL PATEL

B. Tech Graduation Student, CE Department  
MBICT, Gujarat Technological University  
New V. V. Nagar,  
Gujarat (India)

GUIDED BY:

PROF. DULARI BHATT

MBICT, Gujarat Technological University  
New V. V. Nagar,  
Gujarat (India)

## Abstract:

*This paper present many research based which are useful for real time application. Animal detection is useful in prevention of animal- vehicle accidents and will increase human and wildlife safety, it will detect large animals before they enter the road and warn the driver through audio and visual signals. This also helps in saving crops in farm from animals. In this paper there is survey of different object detection techniques and for object identification as animal techniques such as object matching, edge based matching ,skeleton extraction etc are there. After survey the most appropriate method is selected for animal detection and efficiency is measured. Proposed system has low false positive rate and false negative rate.*

---

**Keywords:** *Animal detection, Frame differencing, Template Matching*

---

## I. Introduction

Researches related to animal's detection in image processing have been an important aspect to numerous applications. Many algorithms and methods have been developed by human being related this. Intelligent Video surveillance systems deal with the real-time monitoring of persistent and transient objects within a specific environment. The primary aim of this system is to provide an automatic interpretation of scenes and to understand and predict the actions and interactions of the observed objects based on the information acquired by video camera

## 2. Methods for Animal Detection in Image Processing Field

### 2.1 Traditional System

Traditional system requires a person who can view the system whole day. Animal detection by human eyes has been considered as the most reliable detection method if seen from the computational point of view. This is because the image structure in natural images is complex. In, it is found that a human observer is able to decide whether a briefly flashed animal scene contain an animal as fast as 150ms. In, median reaction time results indicate a speed accuracy of 92percents for reaction time of 390ms and increase to 97 percent of correctness for 570ms. Though human detection is effective and achieve satisfactory level, human eyes can easily get

tired causing decreasing of effectiveness. Furthermore, human eyes cannot work 24 hours a day to perform animal detection. These flaws can be curbed by applying computer vision in image processing for animal Detection.

## 2.2 Intelligent Video Surveillance System

In IVS, there are basically six components. These components are listed below.

**Acquisition:** This component is basically used for acquiring the images. There is a whole array of camera models to meet different monitoring needs. They are analogue and digital, and can be power-operated or not. Solar cameras are also being useful in many applications.

**Transmission:** The video captured by surveillance cameras must be sent to the recording, processing and viewing systems. This transmission can be done by cable (coaxial or fiber optic cables, stranded copper wire) or by air (infrared signals, radio transmission).

**Compression:** Digitized video represents a large quantity of data to be transmitted and archived. So, surveillance video must be compressed using codec, algorithms for reducing the amount of data by deleting redundancies, by image or between footage frames, as well as details that cannot be seen by a human eye.

**Processing:** Video management systems process video surveillance images, such as managing different video flows, and viewing, recording, analyzing and searching recorded footage. There are four major categories of video management systems, Digital Video Recorder (DVR), Hybrid Digital Video Recorder (HDVR), Network Video Recorder (NVR), IP video surveillance software.

**Archiving:** The video footage archiving period varies depending on surveillance needs, ranging from a few days to a few years. There are two types of archiving devices, internal and attached.

**Display:** Video surveillance can be viewed on different devices. In small facilities, the video can be viewed directly on the recorder, as the image is being recorded. Images are generally viewed remotely, on a computer, or on a mobile device such as a telephone or hand held device.

Following figure shows the working of the IVS.



Fig. 1 Working of IVS

## 3. Literature Survey

### 3.1 Moving Object Detection Techniques

Identifying moving objects from a video sequence is a fundamental and critical task in many computer-vision applications. A common approach is to perform background subtraction, which identifies moving objects from the portion of a video frame that differs significantly from a background model there are following challenges in developing a good background subtraction algorithm.

- It must be robust against changes in illumination.
- It should avoid detecting non-stationary background objects such as moving leaves, rain, snow, and shadows cast by moving objects.
- Its internal background model should react quickly to changes in background such as starting and stopping of vehicles.

Thus, after doing survey of frame differencing, mean method, standard deviation and mixture of Gaussian method, we have concluded that frame differencing performs comparatively better than any other mechanism. This method works well even if there is change in background like moving leaves, falling rained.

### 3.2 Feature Extraction

In image processing, feature extraction is a special form of dimensionality reduction. When the input data to an algorithm is too large to be processed and it is suspected to be notoriously redundant (much data, but not much information) then the input data will be transformed into a reduced representation set of features (also named features vector). There are many algorithms and techniques for feature extraction like thresholding, blob extraction, template matching, Hough transform, and haar transform etc.

### 3.3 Template Matching

Template matching is a technique in digital image processing for finding small parts of an image which match a template image. To perform template matching in matlab, we have used the concept of normalized cross co relation. In signal processing, cross-correlation is a measure of similarity of two waveforms as a function of a time-lag applied to one of them. This is also known as a sliding dot product or sliding inner-product. It is commonly used for searching a long-duration signal for shorter, known feature. For image-processing applications in which the brightness of the image and template can vary due to lighting and exposure conditions, the images can be first normalized. This is typically done at every step by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Here we have used feature based template matching mechanism using NCC

## 4. Implementation

For the implementation work, the tool selected to carry out proposed system is Matlab. As per the survey, the following Work is carried out. The steps which should be fulfilled during implementation phase are as shown in figure 2. These steps are carried out for detecting animal from the given video.




Fig. 2 Flow of Existing System


### 4.1. Capture Video

To capture the real time video using any webcam or IP camera, type `imaqinfo` in command window to see acquisition tool information. Notice that the adaptor is Winvideo. Any webcam you connect is accessed through this adaptor. Here we are using stored video.

### 4.2 Moving Object Detection

Here for proposed system we have used mixture of frame differencing method for background subtraction. For implementing and setting the parameter of frame differencing method we have


referred. The results for this method are shown below.



**Fig. 3 Implementation of Frame Differencing**

### 4.3 Create the Database

To create the database, here after performing feature extraction we have made two folders. One folder contains the target images and one folder contains the template images. Now to create the target images, we have simply extracted the video frames from testing video. For example here we have used video0014.avi as our testing video, and then after we have extracted images from that video. Now, these images are stored as a target images. These images should be stored in the one folder. Some of the extracted images are shown in figure. Now, to



**Fig. 4 Target Database**

Create template images are stored in one folder. Now these images are further being used for comparing the images from target folder. We are using template matching mechanism to compare the target images with template images. Some of the template images are shown in figure. Template images are used further for applying.



**Fig. 5 Template Database**

Normalized cross co relation concept in finding animal from the frame. Here mainly we are

finding small signal from the large signal. So target database will be used to find template images. Here if template image does not match exactly with target image then also proposed scheme is such that it detects the object. So, here even if there if only nine template images still animal can be accurately detected from more than 77 frames.

#### 4.4 Template Matching

To perform template matching in matlab, we have used the concept of normalized cross correlation. In signal processing, cross-correlation is a measure of similarity of two waveforms as a function of a time-lag applied to one of them. This is also known as a sliding dot product or sliding inner-product. It is commonly used for searching a long-duration signal for a shorter, known feature. For image-processing applications in which the brightness of the image and template can vary due to lighting and exposure conditions, the images can be first normalized. This is typically done at every step by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation.



Fig. 6 Original Image



Fig. 7 Detected Animal

#### 4.5 Results and Analysis

Here we have manually checked the efficiency of the proposed system. This section describes the false positive rate and false negative rate of the system.

##### 4.5 False Positive Rate

False positive rate indicates that it shows the rectangle on the frame even if the animal is not present. So, here to find the false positive rate for our implemented code we have taken 120 frames and from that 16 frames are showing rectangle even if there is no animal. So, false positive rate for our code is 13.3 %. Thus, the efficiency of the code= $100-13.3=86.7\%$





Fig. 8 False Positive

##### 4.5.2 False Negative Rate

False negative rate indicates that it does not show the rectangle on the frame even if the animal is present. So, here to find the false negative rate for our implemented code we have taken 120 frames and from that 22 frames are showing Rectangle even if there is no animal. So, false negative rate for our code is 18.33 %. Thus, the efficiency of the code= $100-18.33=81.67\%$ .



**Fig. 9 False Negative**

them frame differencing works better. After that database is generated for target and template images. At last the template matching mechanism is used for detecting animal from the video. To implement template matching, normalized cross correlation technique is used. On detection of the animal an alarm is generated as a feedback. We have observed that the above methods work well for animal detection. Hence, the false positive rate for animal detection is 13.3 %; while false negative rate for animal detection is 18.33%.The proposed technique has 84.18% efficiency for animal detection.

## 5. Conclusion

Intelligent farm surveillance system refers to the video level processing techniques for identification of specific objects, in recorded videos of the farm. In our work, we have assumed video, to be a series of images and have extended the concept to identify animal from videos of the farm. Different image processing techniques have been surveyed and implemented to recognize animals in video more efficiently. Background subtraction methods like frame differencing, mixture of Gaussian and sum of absolute differences were implemented and tested on the video. Out of

## 6. Future Work

Here as matching algorithm we have used NCC (Normalized cross correlation), in future any machine learning technique like SVM or neural network can be used.

## References

1. Dee, H. M., Velastin, S. A. (2008). How close are we to solving the problem of automated visual surveillance? A review of real-world surveillance, scientific progress and evaluative mechanisms. *Machine Vision and Applications*, 19 (5-6) pp. 329-343.
2. Hampapur, A., Brown, L., Connel, J., Ekin, A., Haas, N., Lu, M., Merkl H., Pankanti, S., A. Senior, A., Shu, C.-F., Tian, Y. L., (2005). "Smart Video Surveillance: Exploring the concept of multiscale spatiotemporal tracking", *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 22 (2) pp. 38-51.
3. Hearing, N., Venetianer, P. L., Lipton, A. (2008). "The evolution of video surveillance: an overview". *Machine Vision and Applications*, 19 (5-6) pp. 279-290.
4. Piccardi, M. (2004). Background subtraction techniques: A review. *Proc of the Int Conf on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (SMC 2004)*, The Hague, The Netherlands, pp.3199-3104.
5. Technological and Commercial Intelligence Report, Aude-Emmanuelle Fleurant, CRIM, Technople Defence and Security, April 8, 2009, "Intel-ligent Video Surveillance: Promises and Challenges"
6. Thorpe, M. F. Delorme, A. and Marlot, S. T. C. (2003). "A limit to the speed processing in ultra-rapid visual categorization of novel natural scene," *Cognitive Neuroscience*, pp. 171-180.
7. Wichmann, F. A. Drewes, J. P. Rosas, and Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2010). "Animal detection in natural scenes: Critical review revisited," *Journal of Vision*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1-27.
8. Wichmann, F. Drewes, A. Rosas, J. P. and Gegenfurtner, K. R. (2010). "Animal detection in natural scenes: Critical review revisited," *Journal of Vision*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1-27,

9. Xiao-yan Zhang, Xiao-juan Wu, Xin Zhou, Xiao-gang Wang, Yuan-yuan Zhang School of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong University Jinan 250100, P. R. China, "Automatic Detection and Tracking of Maneuverable Animals in Videos"